



Date: September 03, 2010 Update
From: Technical Department, Roofing


7992T vs. 7992 Aggregate Retention

The Test: Testing was performed by Dallas Laboratories to determine the value of utilizing 7992T (treated) aggregate compared to 7992 (untreated) aggregate in Auto-Gard and Peda-Gard Traffic Bearing Waterproofing Systems. The goal was to find out whether the specimen utilizing the 7992T would stand up to abrasion better than the specimen utilizing 7992.


Test Method: Specimens were tested in accordance to ASTM D4060 "Standard Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Organic Coatings by the Taber Abraser" using non-resilient vitrified H38 Calibrade wheels. 1,000-gm loading for 500 revolutions was used for test parameters.

Test Results: The test concluded that the coating system using the 7992T aggregate had 56% better aggregate retention, on average, than the coating with 7992.

Conclusion: Systems installed using the 7992T will show improved durability with less aggregate breakout in lieu of systems installed using 7992 or other untreated aggregates.



7992T



7992

NEOGARD

Div. of JONES-BLAIR